

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,635		11/13/2003	Kazuhisa Yamamoto	YAO-3750US5	2129	
23122	7590	12/30/2005		EXAMINER		
RATNERP	RESTLA	A	NGUYEN, DUNG T			
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VALLETIC	JROD, I	171 19102 0900		2828		
				DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

d
7

								
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summany	10/712,635	YAMAMOTO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
_		Dung (Michael) T. Nguyen	2828					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE IN THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communicatio O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 De	ecember 2005						
		action is non-final.						
′=	<i>'</i> —		secution as to the merits i	ie				
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	ologica in accordance with the practice ander E.	x parte Quayre, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	0 0.0. 210.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 78-81 and 87-98 is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 82-86 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🛛	Claim(s) 78-81 and 87-98 are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.						
		·						
Арриса н	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						

Application/Control Number: 10/712,635

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

The applicants' election without traverse the invention of Group I (claims 78-81 and 87-98) in the response to election/restriction requirement on 12/15/05 is acknowledged.

However, the examiner finds that a species restriction is required for the invention of Group I because the claims groups recite different subject matters as following:

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I Fig.21 (claims 78-81 and 87-90) is depicted to a laser light source having a semiconductor laser for radiating laser light and an optical wavelength conversion element for generating a harmonic wave based on the laser light.
- II Fig. 17 (claims 91-98) is depicted to a laser light source comprising: a semiconductor laser for radiating laser light; a solid state laser crystal for receiving laser light radiated from the semiconductor laser and generating a fundamental wave; and an optical wavelength conversion element for generating a harmonic wave based on the fundamental wave.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,635

Art Unit: 2828

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/712,635 Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Dung Nguyen

Michael Dry M

12/21/05